Cuckoo Search-Ant Colony Optimization Based Scheduling in Grid Computing

Dharmendra Prasad Mahato

BIT Sindri, Dhanbad Jharkhand, India

dpmahato.rs.cse13@iitbhu.ac.in 47th International Conference on Parallel Processing Companion

August 13-16, 2018

Dharmendra Prasad Mahato (BIT Sindri)

SRMPDS, ICPP 2018

August 13-16, 2018 1 / 13



2 Problem Definition/Research Question



э

æ

• Scheduling transactions in grid computing system is known to be NP-hard problem.

- Scheduling transactions in grid computing system is known to be NP-hard problem.
- In order to solve this problem, this paper introduces a hybrid approach named cuckoo search-ant colony optimization.

- Scheduling transactions in grid computing system is known to be NP-hard problem.
- In order to solve this problem, this paper introduces a hybrid approach named cuckoo search-ant colony optimization.
- We use cuckoo search method for making clusters of resources based on their load.

- Scheduling transactions in grid computing system is known to be NP-hard problem.
- In order to solve this problem, this paper introduces a hybrid approach named cuckoo search-ant colony optimization.
- We use cuckoo search method for making clusters of resources based on their load.
- We use ant colony optimization for selecting the appropriate and optimal resources.

- Scheduling transactions in grid computing system is known to be NP-hard problem.
- In order to solve this problem, this paper introduces a hybrid approach named cuckoo search-ant colony optimization.
- We use cuckoo search method for making clusters of resources based on their load.
- We use ant colony optimization for selecting the appropriate and optimal resources.

Block 1

the transaction processing model considering load balanced scheduling is formulated as follows:

Minimize L

subject to $proc_j \leq makespan(T), \forall j = 1, ..., n$.

where the constraint states that the processing time $(proc_j)$ of all the transactions on node N_j should lie within its makespan.

(1)

Table : Divide the solution to K categories based on random cluster numbers in the solution S_1 .

| <i>c</i> ₁ | <i>c</i> ₂ | <i>c</i> ₃ |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 3 | 5 | 1 |
| 6 | 7 | 2 |
| _ | 8 | 4 |

Table : Calculated cost value for all solutions.

| Solution | N_1 | N ₂ | N ₃ | N_4 | N_5 | N ₆ | N ₇ | N ₈ | Cost |
|----------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------|
| S_1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6.5 |
| S_2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 7.0 |
| S_3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7.5 |

Image: A matrix of the second seco

3

| Nodes | load | Fitness of S_1 | Fitness of S ₂ | Fitness of S_3 |
|-------|------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 1 | 3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 2 | 8 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| 3 | 5 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 |
| 6 | 6 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 7 | 7 | 1.5 | 0 | 1.0 |
| 8 | 6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 |

Table : Calculated fitness value for dataset objects by S_1 , S_2 and S_3 .

Image: Image:

Table : Parameters of LBTS_ACO

| Parameters | Value |
|------------|-------|
| α | 0.5 |
| β | 0.5 |
| ρ | 0.2 |
| d | 0.25 |

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3

LBTS_ACO

- 1: Select the node from the appropriate cluster using Cuckoo Search Algorithm
- 3: $P_k = 0 \ (P_k \leq 1) \ L_j \leqslant \frac{n}{2} \ L_{bs} \leftarrow L_j$
- 5: Calculate the quality of the node

6:
$$L^p \leftarrow L_{bs}$$

7: k = k + 1

8:
$$P_k = \frac{\log(k)}{\log(K)}$$

- 9: select random nodes N_{r1} and N_{r2}
- 10: find L_{r1} and L_{r2}
- 11: Calculate $\phi(L_j, L_{r1}, L_{r2})$ $(0 \leqslant \phi(L_j, L_{r1}, L_{r2}) \leqslant 1.5)$ $L_{r1} \le L_{r2}$
- 12: $L_{bs} \leftarrow L_{r1}$
- 13: $L_j \leftarrow L_{r1}$
- 14: $L_{bs} \leftarrow L_{r2}$
- 15: $L_j \leftarrow L_{r2}$
- 16: Update the pheromone_load of the node \rightarrow pheromone_load update \odot

 \triangleright Finds quality of the node

LBTS_CSACO algorithm

- 1: Initialize the transaction T_i at node N_i
- 2: Find the load L_i of the node N_i
- 3: make N_j the best-so-far node N_{bs} All the transactions are not scheduled
- 4: Call LBTS_ACO
- 5: Make N_j the best-so-far node
- 6: Increment the load of N_j by 1

This experiment evaluates the performance among the algorithms concerning throughput. Here the average throughput of the grid transaction at different processing levels are presented. The transaction throughput is first measured for the grid transaction processing system (depicted in Figure 1) which shows that the LBTS_CSACO algorithm outperforms the LBTS_ACO, EO, GA, Randomized, DLB, and HLBA algorithms.



Figure : Throughput with Transaction Management

Dharmendra Prasad Mahato (BIT Sindri)

SRMPDS, ICPP 2018



Figure : Makespan with Transaction Management

SRMPDS, ICPP 2018

< 4 →

э



Figure : Miss Ratio with Transaction Management

- ∢ /⊐ >

æ

Thank You

Image: A math black

2